Superintendent's Performance Goals



Beth Chamberland, 2023-2024

FEBRUARY 2024 UPDATE

STUDENT LEARNING GOAL

<u>Goal:</u> By November 2023, a data analysis cycle will be established at all levels for ELA and Math to ensure that the gap between the performance of special education students and general education students is regularly monitored, that interventions are developed to support students, and we develop targets for improvement for students to be monitored monthly.

Action: To meet biweekly with each principal to conduct classroom visits, follow up discussion and recommendations for feedback to ensure inclusive practices and the principles of Universal Design for Learning. One meeting each month will focus on classroom visits, the second meeting will include the review of data. Data to be reviewed includes, progress of special education students, progress of underrepresented groups of students, AP class make up, special education students in upper-level classes, intervention plans and programming.

<u>Evidence:</u> Action plans to ensure progress for identified students/groups of students. Follow up emails to principals to summarize discussion and findings from classroom walkthroughs. Documented findings during the classroom visits of evidence of the principles of Universal Design for Learning. Formative Assessment data.

FEBRUARY 2024 UPDATE

- Ongoing MCAS data discussion with SWIS, AMS and AHS complete-building based teams continue to analyze the data
- iReady and DIBELS discussion with principals to determine progress and areas of need.
- Classroom visits are ongoing
- Universal Design for Learning coaches have been actively working in their own classrooms and with colleagues to support implementation
- Principals are analyzing small sets of data for inequities and to assist in remediation programming
- After school programming is being established for qualifying students

PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE GOAL

<u>Goal(1):</u> Participate fully in year 2 of the New Superintendent Induction Program to develop my leadership skills as a Superintendent.

Goal(2): Participate in ongoing training with the Principals on Restorative Practices for students needing Tier 1, Tier 2 and Tier 3 Interventions to create a safer school environment for all.

<u>Action:</u> Attend all scheduled NSIP sessions, regularly access my NSIP Coach as a critical friend, applying content learned to leadership practices with the principals and Superintendent's Cabinet. Model the use of Restorative Practices in both group meetings and individual feedback meetings with principals and other members of the Leadership Team.

<u>Evidence:</u> PDPs for completion of program along with a summary of feedback from my NSIP coach. Agendas from Principal Meetings and email feedback.

FEBRUARY 2024 UPDATE

Goal (1)

 I continue to attend all scheduled meetings and communicate with my coach both electronically and in person

Goal(2)

- Information from NSIP has been shared and discussed with principals.
 - o Educator Evaluation and Feedback that Works
 - Protocols that support the new instructional strategy implementation focus on Universal Design for Learning-UDL Look Fors

DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT GOAL (1)

<u>Goal:</u> The Leadership Team will devote 40% of its meeting time this year 1) deepening our knowledge of unconscious bias, racial identity development, and the 4 l's of racism(Ideological, Internalized, Institutional, and Interpersonal) and other "isms" and 2) reflecting on how they may be contributing to inequitable experiences for students, families, and staff in our schools to ensure all students feel a sense of belonging in our schools.

Action: Documented in our agendas, we will through discussion, readings, sharing and examination of data, explore and correct areas of bias found in our school district.

Evidence: Meeting agendas and action outcomes based on findings.

FEBRUARY 2024 UPDATE

- Principals are working on the analysis of small data sets by applying a lens of equity that will be discussed at a Principal's meeting and when appropriate, be followed by the creation of an action plan
- We have contracted with Colin Rose from Perennial Education to help us in providing coherence between our Strategic Plan, the professional development we undertake with a focus

on cultural and linguistic proficiency. All principals, Mr. Keller, Mr. Walton and myself are currently participating in this training

DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT GOAL (2)

<u>Goal:</u> Revise and update the onboarding process of all new personnel to ensure it is professional, comprehensive, and timely for all new staff. Through this process, build a more diverse workforce.

Action: Implement the TalentEd process for onboarding. Collaborate with Human Resources at the Town for recruitment processes and onboarding processes that are more welcoming and supportive for new staff. Implement the ArXEd process for ensuring that all staff complete mandated training each year.

Evidence: Documented completion of above actions

FEBRUARY 2024 UPDATE

- The onboarding process is complete
- The Personnel Records process is complete. Ms. Rocco and I are now working with our coach for implementation.

DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT GOAL (3)

Goal: Provide the community with regular updates on the approved 23-26 Strategic Plan and provide opportunities for feedback.

Action: Provide monthly updates to the Strategic Plan Initiatives in collaboration with the School Committee, the Principals, and the Leadership Team. Incorporate feedback from interested Stakeholders: AMS and AHS Student Advisory Committees, the Superintendent Family Advisory Committee, School Improvement Teams in each school, surveys, focus groups.

Evidence: Updates to the Strategic Plan; Summaries of feedback from Stakeholder groups

FEBRUARY 2024 UPDATE

- The first monthly update was shared with families on February 9, 2024 on the initiatives underway to enhance Social and Emotional Supports District Wide
- Monthly updates will continue throughout the remainder of the school year

DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT GOAL (4)

<u>Goal:</u> Follow through on the development of a Facilities Committee to ensure that each school building meets the needs of staff and students.

<u>Action:</u> Create the Facilities Committee. Establish agendas and items for discussion to include visits to each school building. Provide data to the committee based on enrollment/occupancy and use of each building.

Evidence: Minutes from the meetings and recommended action items to be shared with the committee.

FEBRUARY 2024 UPDATE

Committee is being formed to visit schools

STANDARD I:

Instructional Leadership

Curriculum Indicator

- Facilitation of the purchase of Wonders for K-5
- Brain Health Bootcamp via grant monies from the Town
- Working with Special Education on materials and training for Language Based Instruction

Instruction Indicator

- Regular Classroom walkthroughs with principals
- Review of principal goals with each principal for progress

Assessment Indicator

- Sharing of the <u>District Report Card</u>
- Collaboration with the Asst. Superintendent on the MCAS Report
- Midyear data review with principals is upcoming

Evaluation Indicator

Regular feedback to principals and central office administration on observations, data meetings and daily practice

Data-Informed Decision-Making Indicator

Strategic Plan Updates to the community

Student Learning Indicator

- MCAS data review
- Sharing of MCAS data with staff
- Deep review of special education data at all levels with District Administration and Principals



STANDARD II:

Management and Operations

Environmental Indicator

- District Safety Team is active and meets regularly
- Ongoing collaboration with the District Safety Team to identify and remedy areas for enhanced security
 - All schools have complete Multi Hazard Plan, shared with Town officials
- School based incidents are reported to the appropriate authority and School Committee in a timely manner
 - Students receive all necessary programming, technology and supports as needs are identified

Human Resources Management and Development Indicator

- Annual Induction and Mentoring Program is reviewed as needed
- Continued effort on hiring ensure all open positions are filled with qualified candidates
- Asst. Supt is coordinating a Professional Development Committee to create an impactful PD process for all
- All Mentor Leaders will receive updated training to ensure our Mentoring and Induction program is powerful and effective

Scheduling and Management Information System Indicator

- School Bus registration was completed electronically and in a timely manner to minimize the potential impact on the budget
 - Awarding of PDP's via Teachpoint to staff is now done electronically allowing teachers to have ready access to their account
 - Collaboration with the Business office on payroll related items to improve service to staff

Law, Ethics, an Policies Indicator

- Relevant leadership team meeting agenda items-Biweekly Principal Meetings, Biweekly Secondary Principal Meetings, weekly meetings with Assistant Superintendent, Director of Special Education, Business Manager, Director of Technology and Director of Food Services
 - Partnered with ArXEd this year to provide a much-improved experience for the mandated training all staff must complete that also incorporated tracking to ensure all staff complete the program
- Several School Committee Policies have been updated throughout the year including an extensive revision of the Field Trip Policy-ongoing



Fiscal Systems Indicator

- **Budget analyses and monitoring reports**
- **Budget presentations**
- Budget has been well managed and the FY25 Budget was submitted to the Town on time
- Monthly meetings with the Town administration to discuss financial challenges and solutions
- Ongoing collaboration with the School Committee, Town Leadership and the AEA to bring negotiations to a positive conclusion
 - WIII facilitate contract negotiations with ABAs
- Monthly central office meetings with the team to strive for continuous improvement



STANDARD III:

Family and Community Engagement

Engagement Indicator



- Biweekly newsletters to the community with an approximate average of 1,200 views for each newsletter
- Surveys used for specific items of interest i.e...PD Days
- Communications include a variety of community related events and information

Sharing Responsibility Indicator

- Implementation of School Improvement Teams at each school to include work on improving connections with families
 - Sharing of information on mental health resources via the biweekly newsletter
- Sharing of information related to the challenges social media places on students

Communication Indicator

- District Wide Event Calendar for all events is available on the website
- Biweekly Newsletters
- Event based communications are completed in a timely manner snow days, bus changes/issues, traffic related issues, school based events when warranted
 - Preparation for all School Committee Meetings
- Preparation for Town Meetings, Finance Board Meetings and Selectboard Meetings
- Regular and ongoing communication with Auburn Youth and Family Services

Family Concerns Indicator

- Prompt, respectful collaboration with families on student-based issues-disciplinary, mental health, academic based, bus issues
- Substantial collaboration with the community and families related to our newly arrived students and their assimilation into our school community
- Regular meetings with the Auburn Education Association Leadership to address concerns



STANDARD IV:

Professional Culture

Commitment to High Standards Indicator

- Principal Meetings, weekly meetings with Assistant Superintendent, Director of Special Education, Business Manager, Director of Technology Regular leadership team meeting agenda items-Biweekly Principal Meetings, Biweekly Elementary Principal Meetings, Biweekly Secondary and Director of Food Services
 - Ongoing analysis of process and procedures to improve the experience of students, staff attendance reports to all staff, review of evaluations by principals, feedback to principals on building-based communication to families and staff

Cultural Proficiency Indicator

- Continued updating and evaluation of the Strategic Plan
- Participation in Culturally and Linguistically Responsive Teaching with the Leadership Team to bring coherence to District goals and classroom
- Regular discussion of problems of practice related to equity with principals
- Assist in addressing issues of equity in the schools in collaboration with the principal

Communications Indicator

- Biweekly newsletter to families and staff
- Implementation of a Staff Only Monthly Newsletter-Words From West to include information related to instructional strategies, curriculum updates, school community updates and other items of interest to staff

Continuous Learning Indicator

- Full participation in the New Superintendent Induction Program (NSIP)
- Participation in Culturally and Linguistically Responsive Teaching with the Leadership Team



Shared Vision Indicator

- Regular connections to the Strategic Plan when working with principals to help connect initiatives and the work in classrooms to the Strategic
- Ongoing focus with teachers and principals related to:
- 1. Building positive relationships with students and staff
- Application of the tenets of Universal Design for Learning into classroom instruction
- Restorative Practice to support and reinforce positive relationships with students and a sense of belonging for all
 - Ongoing improvements in the social and emotional supports we provide for students 4.
 - 5. Physical and emotional safety for students and staff
- The development of our skills in the area of cultural and linguistic competency

Managing Conflict Indicator

- Observations by School Committee members during AEA negotiations
 - Collaboration with School Committee members on district initiatives
- Shared parent and staff situations that have been handles respectfully, thoughtfully and fairly





Indicator Rubric for Superintendent Evaluation

The Indicator Rubric for Superintendent Evaluation is an evaluation tool intended to support a shared understanding of effective leadership practice between a superintendent and school committee members

to reasonably evaluate. This is a significant departure from the more detailed, element-level rubrics associated with other educator roles in the descriptions of a superintendent's practice for each Indicator and articulates the specific responsibilities that a school committee may be expected Designed around the 21 Indicators from the Standards of Effective Administrative Leadership (603 CMR 35.04), the Indicator Rubric includes model system for educator evaluation.

conducted by a school committee: practice at the Indicator level rather than at the element level acknowledges the following unique components of an educator evaluation process meet expectations associated with each Standard, typically assessed by focusing on one to two Indicators per Standard each year. Describing Indicators associated with the four Standards of Effective Administrative Leadership remain in place, and the superintendent is still expected to While this structural difference results in a shorter, less complex evaluation tool, it does not simplify the responsibilities of a superintendent. All 21

- The Role of the School Committee: The school committee's role is governance, rather than management. A school committee thereby focuses on the what and the why (governance) of superintendent leadership, rather than the how (management). The Indicator Rubric does
- evaluator. This demands consensus building, a process made exponentially easier when focused around fewer descriptors of practice. The Composition of a School Committee: The school committee as "evaluator" is comprised of multiple individuals, rather than a single
- a superintendent's work, rather than the details of implementation. The Indicator Rubric guides committee members to maintain this focus. The Focus of a School Committee: School committee members, many of whom are often non-educators, focus primarily on the outcome of
- A Public Process. The superintendent's evaluation is the only educator evaluation conducted in public. The Indicator Rubric includes the evaluating evidence may be conducted with transparency and integrity. practices to which a committee can reasonably be expected to have access or insight, such that the public process of collecting and

STANDARD I: Instructional Leadership

The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by cultivating a shared vision that makes powerful teaching and learning the central focus of schooling.

	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
I-A: Curriculum	Does not ensure the implementation of standardsbased units of instruction across the district (e.g. fails to provide adequate resources or training).	Ensures that most instructional staff implement standards-based units of instruction consisting of well-structured lessons, but curricula in some schools or content areas lack appropriate rigor or alignment to state standards.	Monitors and assesses progress across all schools and content areas to ensure that all instructional staff implement effective and rigorous standards-based units of instruction consisting of well-structured lessons with measurable outcomes.	Empowers administrators to ensure all instructional staff collaboratively plan, adapt as needed, and implement standards-based units comprised of well-structured lessons aligned to state standards and local curricula. Continually monitors and assesses progress, and provides additional supports as needed. Models this practice for others.
I-B: Instruction	Does not ensure that instructional practices across schools and content areas reflect high expectations for teaching and learning, are engaging and motivating, or meet the diverse learning of all students; or establishes inappropriately low expectations for teaching and learning.	Supports instructional practices in some schools or content areas that reflect high expectations, engage all students, and are personalized to accommodate diverse learning styles, needs, interests, and levels of readiness; but allows lower expectations and/or insufficiently engaging instruction to persist in parts of the district.	Monitors and supports principals and instructional staff through observations and feedback to ensure that instructional practices in all settings reflect high expectations regarding content and quality of effort and work, engage all students, and are personalized to accommodate diverse learning styles, needs, interests, and levels of readiness.	Sets high expectations for the content and quality of instruction and empowers all administrators to do the same, such that instructional practices throughout the district are engaging, inclusive, and personalized to accommodate diverse learning needs of all students. Stays informed of new, evidenced-based instructional practices and provides resources and supports to implement them as needed. Monitors principals and instructional staff in support of these practices through observations and feedback.



,		*	

supervision and evaluation of all staff in alignment with state regulations and contract provisions, as evidenced by: • Support to all administrators to develop and attain SMART goals aligned to school and district priorities, and sharing best practices and success with the district community; • Guidance, support and monitoring for all administrators to ensure they observe and provide useful feedback to faculty and staff; • Frequent observations of and feedback to administrators on effective leadership practice. Models this process through the superintendent's own evaluation process and goals.	supervision and evaluation of all staff in alignment with state regulations and contract provisions, as evidenced by: • Support to all administrators in developing SMART goals aligned to school and district priorities, • Guidance, support and monitoring for all administrators to ensure they observe and provide useful feedback to faculty and staff, and • Frequent observations of and feedback to administrators on effective leadership practice.	Supervises and evaluates administrators in alignment with state regulations and contract provisions, but: Some administrator goals may not be SMART or aligned to school and district priorities; and/or Observations of and feedback to staff by other administrators is inconsistent or nonspecific; and/or Observations of and feedback to administrators by the superintendent are inconsistent or nonspecific.	Does not supervise and evaluate administrators in alignment with state regulations or contract provisions, such that: • Administrators' goals are neither SMART nor aligned to school and/or district goals, and/or • Administrators rarely provide quality supervision and evaluation to other staff; and/or • Administrators are rarely, if ever, observed and provided with feedback on their own leadership practice.	I-D: Evaluation
Empowers teams of administrators and instructional staff to use a comprehensive system of informal and formal assessment methods to measure each student's learning, growth, and progress toward achieving state/local standards, and to use findings to adjust instructional practice and implement appropriate interventions and enhancements for students. Ensures alignment of assessments to content and grade level standards, and monitors administrators' efforts and successes in this area. Models this practice for others.	als ensure ices I	Encourages most principals and administrators to facilitate practices that propel personnel to use a variety of formal and informal methods and assessments to measures student learning, growth, and understanding, but assessment use and analysis is inconsistent throughout the district.	Does not set expectations around or ensure the use of a variety of formal and informal assessment methods throughout the district.	I-C: Assessment
Exemplary		Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	



	Unsatisfactory Gathers limited information on district strengths and weaknesses and writes district and annual action plans that lack datainformed goals.	Needs Improvement Identifies multiple sources of evidence related to student learning to assess the district's strengths and areas for improvement, but these data are	Proficient Uses multiple sources of evidence related to student learning, including state, district, and school assessment results and growth data, to inform district goals and improve organizational	Exemplary Leads administrator teams in identifying and using multiple sources of evidence including state, district, and school assessment results and growth data, educator evaluation data,
I-E: Data-Informed Decision Making	action plans that lack data-informed goals.	strengths and areas for improvement, but these data are not comprehensive and/or analysis is insufficient, such that district and annual action plans lack focused or measurable goals.	data, to inform district goals and improve organizational performance, educator effectiveness, and student learning. Regularly monitors and shares progress with the community. Supports principals to align school improvement goals to district plans and goals.	
	Demonstrates expected impact on student progress on common asse	Demonstrates expected impact on student learning based on multiple measures of student learning, student progress on common assessments and statewide student growth measures where available.	Demonstrates expected impact on student learning based on multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement, including student progress on common assessments and statewide student growth measures where available.	. 9
I-F: Student Learning	There are no associated performan based on multiple measures of student learning gains associated vertical measures of student learning gains associated we measures of student learning migh	There are no associated performance descriptors for the Student Learning Ind based on multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement m performance rating for Standard I. Evaluators and educators should identify t student learning gains associated with those measures when developing the measures of student learning might include (but should not be limited to) stameasures of student learning might include (but should not be limited to).	There are no associated performance descriptors for the Student Learning Indicator. For administrators, evidence of impact on student learning based on multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement must be taken into account by the evaluator(s) when determining a performance rating for Standard I. Evaluators and educators should identify the most appropriate assessments of student learning and anticipated student learning gains associated with those measures when developing the Educator Plan. For superintendents and other district leaders, multiple measures of student learning might include (but should not be limited to) statewide assessments, assessments from curricular materials used in measures of student learning might include (but should not be limited to) statewide information about student learning across the district.	ence le ex le ex nts o ents



multiple schools, district-created common assessments, or others measures that provide information about student learning across the district.

.

. .

			·

STANDARD II: Management and Operations

Promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by ensuring a safe, efficient, and effective learning environment, using resources to implement appropriate curriculum, staffing, and scheduling.

Pails to establish plans, procedures, routines, and operational systems that address the safety, health, and emotional and social needs of students throughout the district, such that schools and other buildings are not generally clean, attractive, welcoming, or safe. II-A. Environment Oversees plans routines, and systems that a safety, health, and social need but allows for implementatic across the dist occess to clear supportive lea environments.	Unsatisfactory
Oversees plans, procedures, routines, and operational systems that address the safety, health, and emotional and social needs of students, but allows for variation in implementation and/or quality across the district, such that not all students have equitable access to clean, safe, and supportive learning environments.	Needs Improvement
plans, procedures, routines, and operational systems to address a full range of safety, health, and emotional and social needs of students throughout the district, as evidenced by: orderly and efficient student entry, dismissal, meals, class transitions, assemblies, and recess; school and district buildings that are clean, attractive, welcoming, and safe; and safe and supportive learning environments for all students.	Proficient
Empowers all administrators to develop and execute effective plans, procedures, routines, and operational systems to address a full range of safety, health, and emotional and social needs of all students throughout the district, as evidenced by: orderly and efficient student entry, dismissal, meals, class transitions, assemblies, and recess; school and district buildings that are clean, attractive, welcoming, and safe; and safe and supportive learning environments for all students. Assesses efficacy using feedback from students, staff, and families, and other data sources, and makes adjustments as necessary. Models this practice for others.	Exemplary



	,	
		\$
	8	

Empowers administrators and teams to contribute to the design and monitoring of district systems that maximize access to quality instructional time for all students, and minimize disruptions and distractions for all school-level staff. Supports ongoing administrator collaboration within and across schools.	use of time for teaching, learning, and collaboration, as evidenced by: school schedules that maximize student access to quality instructional time and minimize school day disruptions; and regular opportunities for administrators to collaborate.	Encourages the use of scheduling and management information systems that promote time for teaching and learning, but does not monitor efficacy throughout the district and/or allows for frequent schedule disruptions; provides inadequate time for administrators to collaborate around leadership practice.	Does not implement systems to ensure optimal use of time for teaching, learning, or collaboration, such that instructional time is inadequate and/or routinely disrupted, and administrators have limited to no opportunities to collaborate around meaningful practice.	II-C. Scheduling and Management Information Systems
Ensures a districtwide system for recruiting, hiring, and retaining an effective and diverse workforce of administrators and educators who share the district's mission and meet the learning needs of all students, as evidenced by: • comprehensive induction supports for all new educators; • job-embedded professional learning that (a) reinforces district goals, (b) results in high-quality and effective practice; and • formalized distributed leadership and career growth opportunities. Empowers all administrators to implement these systems consistently.	Monitors and supports the implementation of a cohesive approach to recruitment, hiring, induction, development, and career growth that promotes high-quality and effective practice, as evidenced by districtwide systems that support: Hiring and retaining a diverse workforce; Comprehensive induction supports for new educators; Job-embedded professional development aligned with district goals; and Distributed leadership opportunities to support educator career growth.	Oversees processes for recruitment, hiring, induction, development, and career growth, but systems are inadequately or inconsistently implemented throughout the district, and/or do not consistently promote the hiring, retention, and support of a diverse, effective educator workforce.	Does not implement any formal processes for the recruitment and hiring of faculty and staff, and/or fails to provide sufficient induction, development, or career growth supports to educators, as evidenced by an inability to reliably hire and retain educators that meet the learning needs of district students.	II-B. Human Resources Management & Development
Exemplary		Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	



	v		

	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
II-D. Laws, Ethics and Policies	Demonstrates lack of awareness or consistent non-compliance with some or all state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, or collective bargaining agreements, and/or fails to adhere to ethical guidelines.	May know state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, and collective bargaining agreements, but inconsistently complies with some laws or policies, and/or ethical guidelines.	Understands and complies with state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, collective bargaining agreements, and ethical guidelines, and provides the resources and support to ensure district-wide compliance.	Provides the resources and support for all school personnel to understand and comply with state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, collective bargaining agreements, and ethical guidelines. Models this practice for others.
ll-E. Fiscal Systems	Develops a budget that does not align with the district's goals or mismanages available resources. Does not communicate budget rationale or financial short falls to staff, community members, municipal stakeholders, or the school committee.	Develops a budget that loosely aligns with the district's vision, mission, and goals, and/or inconsistently manages expenditures and available resources. Does not effectively communicate budget rationale to staff, community members, municipal stakeholders, and the school committee.	Develops a budget that supports the district's vision, mission, and goals; allocates and manages expenditures consistent with district/school-level goals and available resources; and effectively communicates budget rationale to staff, community members, municipal stakeholders, and the school committee. Provides regular updates on implementation of the budget.	Leads the administrator team to develop a district budget aligned with the district's vision, mission, and goals that addresses the needs of all students. Allocates and manages expenditures consistent with district/schoollevel goals, and seeks alternate funding sources as needed. Proactively communicates budget rationale to staff, community members, municipal stakeholders, and the school committee. Provides regular updates on implementation of the budget.



		*		
B				
	9			£
			147	

STANDARD III: Family and Community Engagement

Promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff through effective partnerships with families, community organizations, and other stakeholders that support the mission of the school and district.

Does not ensure that administrators are identifying student learning and development needs and working with families to address them.	Does little to welcome families as members of the district, classroom or school community, or tolerates an environment that is unwelcoming to some families.	Unsatisfactory
Encourages administrators to work with families to address students struggling academically or behaviorally, but does not consistently monitor these activities to ensure that student needs are being met, either within or outside of schools.	in the classroom and school community, but efforts are limited or insufficient, leading to limited family involvement throughout the district.	Needs Improvement
Monitors adherence to district-wide policies and practices that promote continuous collaboration with families to support student learning and development both at home and at school, as evidenced by: the collaborative identification of each student's academic, social, emotional, and behavioral needs; and connecting families to the necessary resources and services within the school and	Promotes, monitors and supports comprehensive, culturally responsive and collaborative engagement practices that welcome and encourage every family to actively participate in the classroom and school community, and engages community stakeholders to contribute to the classroom, school, and community's effectiveness.	Proficient
Empowers all administrators to regularly collaborate with families to address each student's academic, social, emotional, and behavioral needs, and to access as needed necessary services within and outside of schools to address those needs. Monitors these processes to ensure all student needs are being met. Models this practice for others.	Ensures that all personnel to use culturally responsive and collaborative practices that engage all families to contribute to district, classroom, school, and community effectiveness, including but not limited to families with limited access to technology, and families whose home language is not English. Actively engages stakeholders from all segments of the community, including residents, municipal officials, and business leaders, in furthering the mission of the school and the district. Models this practice for others.	Exemplary



III-D. Family Concerns	III-C. Communication	
Does not address most family concerns in a timely or effective manner, fails to provide systems or supports for administrators to do the same, and/or allows responses to be inconsistent or insufficient. Resolutions are often not in the best interest of students.	Does not set clear expectations for or provide support to administrators regarding regular or culturally sensitive communication with families, and/or allows culturally insensitive, inappropriate, or disrespectful communications with families to occur. District communication regarding student learning and performance occurs primarily through school report cards.	Unsatisfactory
Ensures that most family concerns are addressed as they arise, but responsiveness is inconsistent across the district. Supports administrators to reach solutions to family concerns, but may not ensure equitable resolutions that are in the best interest of students.	May set expectations regarding regular, two-way, culturally proficient communications with families, but allows occasional communications that are culturally insensitive to some families' home language, culture, and values. District communication primarily occurs through school newsletters and other oneway media.	Needs Improvement
Addresses family concerns in an equitable, effective, and efficient manner, and supports administrators to seek equitable resolutions to both academic and non-academic concerns that (a) reflect relevant information from all parties including families, faculty, and staff, and (b) are in the best interest of students.	Engages in regular, two-way, culturally proficient communication with families and community stakeholders about student learning and performance, that is provided in multiple formats and reflects understanding of and respect for different families' home languages, culture, and values.	Proficient
Ensures that all family concerns are addressed in a timely and effective manner throughout the district; empowers administrators to proactively respond as academic or non-academic concerns arise; and promotes collaborative problem solving processes informed by relevant input from all families, faculty, and staff that result in equitable solutions that are in the best interest of students. Models this practice for others.	Supports and empowers all administrators to engage in regular, two-way, culturally responsive communications with families about student learning and performance. District-wide communications with families are provided in multiple formats and respect and affirm different families' home languages, culture, and values.	Exemplary



STANDARD IV: Professional Culture

Promotes success for all students by nurturing and sustaining a school culture of reflective practice, high expectations, and continuous learning for staff.

IV-A. Commitment to High Standards		
Does not encourage high standards of teaching and learning or high expectations for achievement among administrators, as evidenced by: 1. a failure to develop or articulate the district's mission or core values; and 2. an inability or unwillingness to lead administrator leadership meetings that inform school and district matters.	Unsatisfactory	
May articulate high standards for teaching and learning, but expectations are inconsistently applied throughout the district, as evidenced by: 1. Mission and core values are present but may not reflect district-wide buy-in, or are rarely used to inform decision-making. 2. Meetings: Leads administrator leadership meetings that address matters of consequence but may not result in meaningful decision-making.	Needs Improvement	
Fosters a shared commitment to high standards of teaching and learning with high expectations for achievement for all, including: 1. Mission and core values: Develops, promotes, and secures staff commitment to core values that guide the development of a succinct, results-oriented mission statement and ongoing decision-making. 2. Meetings: Plans and leads well-run and engaging meetings that have clear purpose, focus on matters of consequence, and engage participants in a thoughtful and productive series of conversations and deliberations about important school matters.	Proficient	
Established a district-wide commitment to high standards of teaching and learning with high expectations for achievement for all, including: 1. Mission and core values: Collaborates with educators and community members to develop, secure and/or promote core values and an aligned mission, and to use them to guide decision making. 2. Meetings: Empowers administrators to share responsibility for leading team meetings that address important district matters, and foster collaborative learning and problem-solving around instructional leadership issues. Models this practice for others.	Exemplary	



ž.

IV-C. Communications	IV-B. Cultural Proficiency	
Demonstrates ineffectual interpersonal, written, or verbal communication skills at times, such that staff, families and community members, and/or the school committee lack accurate or sufficient information.	Develops and implements culturally insensitive or inappropriate policies and practices, does not support administrators and staff in building cultural proficiency, and/or fosters a culture that minimizes the importance of individual differences.	Unsatisfactory
Demonstrates adequate interpersonal, written, and verbal communication skills, but outreach to staff, families and community members, and/or the school committee may be inconsistent or unclear.	Takes pride in having a diverse administration, faculty and/or student body, but some policies and practices are not culturally responsive; and/or provides limited resources for administrators to support the development of culturally responsive learning environments and school culture that affirms individual differences.	Needs Improvement
Demonstrates strong interpersonal, written, and verbal communication skills, as evidenced by regular and informative outreach to staff, families and community members, and the school committee in a manner that advances the work of the district. Regularly seeks and considers feedback in decision-making.	Ensures that policies and practices enable staff members and students to interact effectively in a culturally diverse environment in which students' backgrounds, identities, strengths, and challenges are respected, as evidenced by the sufficient provision of guidance, supports, and resources to all schools to promote culturally responsive learning environments and school cultures that affirm individual differences of both students and staff.	Proficient
Utilizes and models strong context- and audience-specific interpersonal, written, and verbal communication skills. Actively seeks and incorporates feedback into decision-making and in communicating rationale for the decisions to staff, family, community members and school committee.	Leads stakeholders to develop and implement culturally responsive policies and practices that acknowledge the diverse backgrounds, identities, strengths, and challenges of administrators, students and staff. Empowers administrators with time, resources, and supports to build culturally responsive learning environments and collaborates with community members to create a culture that affirms individual differences. Models this practice for others.	Exemplary



¹ The original Indicator language is modified in this rubric to reflect a more expansive definition of student success that is inclusive of college and career readiness. This definition is reflected in the other model rubrics as well as Massachusetts policies and initiatives to improve outcomes for all students. EDUCATION

Does not respond to disagreement or dissent and/or does not address conflict in a solutions-oriented or respectful manner. Does not attempt or fails to build consensus within the district and school communities. Conflict	Unsatisfactory
d to dissent and/or conflict in a dor respectful at attempt or sensus within chool	factory
Responds respectfully to most cases of disagreement and dissent, but employs only a limited range of strategies to resolve conflict and build consensus within the district and school communities, with varying degrees of success.	Needs Improvement
Employs strategies for responding to disagreement and dissent, constructively resolving conflict, and building consensus throughout district and school communities, while maintaining a commitment to decisions that are in the best interest of all students.	Proficient
Models a variety of strategies for responding respectfully and effectively to disagreement and dissent, and resolves conflicts in a constructive manner such that all parties are able to move forward productively. Regularly strives to achieve consensus within the district and school communities, while maintaining a commitment to decisions that are in the best interest of all students. Empowers and supports administrators to use these approaches in their own leadership.	Exemplary



-6			
		60	
V)			
× 1			
			240
			5.4.0
		(

Appendix C: End-of-Cycle Summative Evaluation Report: Superintendent

rather than Exemplary because Exemplary is reserved for educators - superintendents included - whose practice in a particular area is so strong earn one of four ratings: Proficient, Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory. Most effective educators will be rated Proficient on a Standard that it can be a model for others. Each rating has a specific meaning: The performance of every educator is rated against the four performance Standards defined in the educator evaluation regulations. All educators

- Proficient performance is understood to be fully satisfactory. For the superintendent, and all other administrators as well as teachers, this is the rigorous expected level of performance. It is a demanding, but attainable level of performance.
- 11 statewide. Few educators—superintendents included—are expected to earn Exemplary ratings on more than a handful of Indicators. Exemplary performance represents a level of performance that exceeds the already high standard of Proficient. A rating of Exemplary is reserved for performance on an Indicator or Standard that is of such a high level that it could serve as a model for leaders regionally or
- at the time. Improvement is necessary and expected. For new educators, performance is often on track to achieve proficiency within three A rating of Needs Improvement represents performance that is below the requirements of a Standard but is not considered to be Unsatisfactory
- performance is consistently below the requirements of a Standard and is considered inadequate, or both. Unsatisfactory performance is merited when performance has not significantly improved following a rating of Needs Improvement, or

End-of-Cycle Summative Evaluation Report: Superintendent



T				ח ס כ≥ ס כ	S				St		
Standard IV: Professional Culture	Standard III: Family and Community Engagement	Standard II: Management and Operations	Standard I: Instructional Leadership	Unsatisfactory = Performance on a standard or overall has not significantly improved following a rating of Needs Improvement, or performance is consistently below the requirements of a standard or overall and is considered inadequate, or both. Needs Improvement/Developing = Performance on a standard or overall is below the requirements of a standard or overall but is not considered to be Unsatisfactory at the time. Improvement is necessary and expected. Proficient = Proficient practice is understood to be fully satisfactory. This is the rigorous expected level of performance. Exemplary = A rating of Exemplary indicates that practice significantly exceeds Proficient and could serve as a model of practice regionally or statewide.	Step 2: Assess Performance on Standards (Complete pages 4–7 first; then check one box for each Standard.)	District Improvement Goal(s)	Student Learning Goal(s)	Professional Practice Goal(s)	Step 1: Assess Progress Toward Goals (Complete page 3 first; check one for each set of goal[s].)	· 1	Superintendent:
al Culture	Community	nt and Oper	Leadership	dard or overall and is converall and is converall and is conversed on a solution of the conversed of the con	ce on Sta	al(s)	3)	oal(s)	oward G		
	y Engagement	ations		has not significantly improved follo onsidered inadequate, or both. standard or overall is below the require expected. ully satisfactory. This is the rigous ce significantly exceeds Proficient.	andards (<i>Complete pa</i>	☐ Did Not Meet	☐ Did Not Meet	☐ Did Not Meet	oals (Complete page	Name	
				wing a rating of Needs Improveruirements of a standard or overous expected level of perfornand could serve as a model of p	ages 4–7 first; then	Some Progress	Some Progress	☐ Some Progress	3 first; check one fo		
				ment, or performance is consistently all but is not considered to be nance. ractice regionally or statewide.	check one box for each	☐ Significant Progress	Significant Progress	Significant Progress	or each set of goal[s].)	Signature	
				Unsatisfactory	Standa	□ Met	□ Met	☐ Met		,	
	П			Needs Improvement	rd.)	E.] 16	Et L		Date	
				Proficient		☐ Exceeded	☐ Exceeded	☐ Exceeded		Ö	
				Exemplary		ed	led	ed			

17			
	(4)		

End-of-Cycle Summative Evaluation Report: Superintendent



	Comments:	Step 4: Add Evaluator Comments Comments and analysis are recommended	Unsatisfactory	Step 3: Rate Overall Summative
		Step 4: Add Evaluator Comments Comments and analysis are recommended for any rating but are required for an overall summative rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory.	Needs Improvement	Step 3: Rate Overall Summative Performance (Based on Step 1 and Step 2 ratings; check one.)
		summative rating of Exemplary, Needs In	☐ Proficient	Step 2 ratings; check one.)
		provement or Unsatisfactory.	Exemplary	

Superintendent's Performance Goals



District Improvement Goal 4	District Improvement Goal 3	District Improvement Goal 2	District Improvement Goal 1	Professional Practice Goal	Student Learning Goal	Superintendents must identify at least one student learning goal, one professional practice goal, and two to four district improvement goals. Goals should be SMART and aligned to at least one focus Indicator from the Standards for Effective Administrative Leadership. Goals Focus Indicator(s) Description
				0		Did Not Meet
						Some Progress
		ل ا				Significant Progress
						Met
						Exceeded

Superint	Standards and Indicators for Effective Administrative Leadership Superintendents should identify 1-2 focus Indicators per Standard aligned to their goals.	e Administrative Leadership tors per Standard aligned to their goals.	
I. Instructional Leadership	II. Management & Operations	III. Family & Community Engagement	IV. Professional Culture
I-A Curriculum	II-A. Environment	III-A. Engagement	IV-A. Commitment to High Standards
I-B. Instruction	II-B. HR Management and Development	III-B. Sharing Responsibility	IV-B. Cultural Proficiency
I-C. Assessment	II-C. Scheduling & Management	III-C. Communication	IV-C. Communications
I-D. Evaluation	Information Systems	III-D. Family Concerns	IV-D. Continuous Learning
I-E. Data-Informed Decision-making	II-D. Laws, Ethics, and Policies		IV-E. Shared Vision
I-F. Student Learning	II-E. Fiscal Systems		IV-F. Managing Conflict

			i	
		•8		

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership



superintendent goal(s). Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to Ψ̈́ 5 Ë Ŧ 5 Curriculum: Ensures that all instructional staff design effective and rigorous standards-based units of instruction consisting of Student Learning: Demonstrates expected impact on student learning based on multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement, including student progress on common assessments and statewide student growth measures where available Data-Informed Decision Making: Uses multiple sources of evidence related to student learning—including state, district, and school assessment results and growth data—to inform school and district goals and improve organizational performance, Evaluation: Ensures effective and timely supervision and evaluation of all staff in alignment with state regulations and contract Instruction: Ensures that practices in all settings reflect high expectations regarding content and quality of effort and work, well-structured lessons with measureable outcomes educator effectiveness, and student learning. their practice when students are not learning. informal methods and assessments to measure student learning, growth, and understanding and make necessary adjustments to Assessment: Ensures that all principals and administrators facilitate practices that propel personnel to use a variety of formal and engage all students, and are personalized to accommodate diverse learning styles, needs, interests, and levels of readiness Focus Indicator (check if yes) The Student Learning Indicator does not have corresponding descriptions of practice. Evidence of impact on student tearning based on multiple measures of student learning growth, and achievement must be taken into account when determining a performance rating for this Standard. C Z 7 m

OVERALL Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership

The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by cultivating a shared vision that makes powerful teaching and learning the central focus of schooling.

Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory):

			T T

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard II: Management & Operations



Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).) II-A. Environment: Develops and executes effective plans, procedures, routines, and operational systems to address a full range of safety, health, emotional, and social needs. Focus Indicator (check if yes) II-B. Human Resources Management and Development: Implements a cohesive approach to recruiting, hiring, induction, development, and career growth that promotes high-quality and effective practice. Focus Indicator (check if yes) II-C. Scheduling and Management Information Systems: Uses systems to ensure optimal use of data and time for teaching, learning, and collaboration, minimizing disruptions and distractions for school-level staff.		-	
induction,			
for teaching,			
Law, Ethics, and Policies: Understands and complies with state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, collective bargaining agreements, and ethical guidelines.			
Fiscal Systems: Develops a budget that supports the district's vision, mission, and goals; allocates and manages expenditures consistent with district- and school-level goals and available resources.			
OVERALL Rating for Standard II: Management & Operations The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by ensuring a safe, efficient, and effective learning environment, using resources to implement appropriate curriculum, staffing, and scheduling.			
Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory):	factory):		

End-of-Cycle Summative Evaluation Report: Superintendent

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard III: Family and Community Engagement



Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).) III-A. Engagement: Actively ensures that all families are welcome members of the classroom and school community and can contribute to the effectiveness of the classroom, school, district, and community. Focus Indicator (check if yes) III-B. Sharing Responsibility: Continuously collaborates with families and community stakeholders to support student learning and development at home, school, and in the community. Focus Indicator (check if yes) III-C. Communication: Engages in regular, two-way, culturally proficient communication with families and community stakeholders about student learning and performance. Focus Indicator (check if yes) III-D. Family Concerns: Addresses family and community concerns in an equitable, effective, and efficient manner.	-	o o o <u>z</u>	o o o v	
regular, two-way, culturally proficient communication with families and community stakeholders rformance.	П			
amily Concerns: Addresses family and community concerns in an equitable, effective, and efficient manner. Focus Indicator (check if yes)				_
OVERALL Rating for Standard III: Family & Community Engagement The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff through effective partnerships with families, community organizations, and other stakeholders that support the mission of the district and its schools.				
Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory):	atisfactor	3;	_	

		2		,
	9			
				9

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard IV: Professional Culture



Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to	2	P	т
IV-A. Commitment to High Standards: Fosters a shared commitment to high standards of service, teaching, and learning with high expectations for achievement for all. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			_
IV-B. Cultural Proficiency: Ensures that policies and practices enable staff members and students to interact effectively in a culturally diverse environment in which students' backgrounds, identities, strengths, and challenges are respected.			
IV-C. Communication: Demonstrates strong interpersonal, written, and verbal communication skills. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			
IV-D. Continuous Learning: Develops and nurtures a culture in which staff members are reflective about their practice and use student data, current research, best practices, and theory to continuously adapt practice and achieve improved results. Models these behaviors in his or her own practice. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			_
IV-E. Shared Vision: Successfully and continuously engages all stakeholders in the creation of a shared educational vision in which every student is prepared to succeed in postsecondary education and become a responsible citizen and global contributor. □ □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			
IV-F. Managing Conflict: Employs strategies for responding to disagreement and dissent, constructively resolving conflict and building consensus throughout a district or school community. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			
OVERALL Rating for Standard IV: Professional Culture The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by nurturing and sustaining a districtwide culture of reflective practice, high expectations, and continuous learning for staff.			
Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory):	factory):		

·